

REMARKS

Claims 1-20 are presently pending. Claims 1-14 are amended. Claims 15-20 are added.

Claims 1 and 7 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,903,311 (Ozcelik). Claim 1 is amended to recite, among other limitations, "writing a second portion of the progressive frame while displaying the first portion of the progressive frame". Claim 7 is amended to recite, among other limitations, "a controller for writing a second portion of the progressive frame in the memory, while the display engine displays the first portion".

Examiner has indicated that Ozcelik discloses "writing a second portion of the frame while displaying the first portion of the frame (col. 12, ln 19-41; Ozcelik discloses the second portion, ie. bottom field, of the frame is written or buffered for storage while the first portion, ie. top field, is displayed)." Office Action, at 3 (Emphasis Added). However, Assignee respectfully submits that Ozcelik does not teach the limitations of claim 1 as now amended, for example, "writing a second portion of the progressive frame while displaying the first portion of the progressive frame". Similarly, Ozcelik does not teach the limitations of claim 7 as now amended, for example, "a controller for writing a second portion of the progressive frame in the memory, while the display engine displays the first portion".

Accordingly, Assignee respectfully requests that Examiner withdraw the rejection to claims 1 and 7, and to dependent claims 2-6 and 8-14.

Claims 13 and 14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112. Assignee has amended claim 13, it respectfully submits that the foregoing rejection is overcome.

Claims 15-20 are added. Claim 15 recites, among other limitations, "a controller for writing a second portion of the field in the memory, while the display engine displays the first portion of the field." Assignee respectfully submits that Ozcelik does not teach the foregoing.

Although Examiner has indicated that Ozcelik discloses "writing a second portion of the frame while displaying the first portion of the frame (col. 12, ln 19-41; Ozcelik discloses the second portion, ie. bottom field, of the frame is written or buffered for storage while the first portion, ie. top field, is displayed)." Office Action, at 3 (Emphasis Added), Assignee respectfully submits that Ozcelik does not teach "a controller for writing a second portion of the field in the memory, while the display engine displays the first portion of the field." It is noted that the limitations, "controller for writing a second portion of the field" and "display engine displays the first portion of the field" refer to the same "field". However, the "top field" and "bottom field" refer to different fields. Accordingly, Assignee respectfully requests that Examiner allow claim 15 and dependent claims 16-20.

CONCLUSION

For at least the foregoing reasons, Assignee submits that each of the pending claims are now in a condition for allowance. Accordingly, Examiner is requested to pass this case to issuance.

It is believed that all monies for the actions described herein are provided with this correspondence. To the extent that additional monies are required for any of the actions requested in the correspondence, Commissioner is authorized to charge such fees and credit any overpayments to deposit account 13-0017.

Respectfully Submitted



Mirut Dalal
Attorney for Assignee
Reg. No. 44,052

March 21, 2007

McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd.
500 West Madison - Suite 3400
Chicago, IL 60661

Phone (312) 775-8000
FAX (312) 775-8100